

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/621,820	WARD, RICHARD E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary K Graham	1744	

All Participants:

(1) Gary K Graham.

(2) Donald L. Otto.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Brasty

Claims discussed:

19, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27

Prior art documents discussed:

Brasty

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Incorporation of limitations of claim 21 into claim 19 and of claim 26 into claim 24 to further distinguish from the Brasty reference. Other minor changes were also discussed. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)